# School Quality Reports Educator Guide

# Elementary / Middle / K–8 Schools 2022–23

Last Updated: February 15, 2024

## **Overview**

The School Quality Reports share information about school performance, set expectations for schools, and promote school improvement. The School Quality Reports include:

- <u>School Quality Snapshot</u>: A summary report for families and community members to learn about school performance and quality.
- School Quality Guide: A more detailed, interactive report for educators to investigate school data more deeply. The School Quality Guide was not produced for the 2022–23 school year.
- <u>School Performance Dashboard</u>: An interactive report with data visualizations for educators to investigate multiple years of school performance data. The report is publicly available for community members interested in more information.

These reports include information from multiple sources, including Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, and student performance in courses and on State tests.

This Educator Guide describes the methodology used to calculate metric values in the School Quality Reports.

## **School Quality Report Sections**

The School Quality Reports include six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive student achievement and school improvement.

The School Quality Reports do not include an overall grade or rating. Instead, they share information on these elements and on Student Achievement.

Rigorous Instruction: This element reflects how well the curriculum and

instruction engage students and build critical-thinking skills. This section uses data from the Quality Review and the NYC School Survey.

**Collaborative Teachers**: This element reflects how well teachers participate in opportunities to develop, grow, and contribute to the continuous improvement of the school community. This section uses data from the Quality Review and the NYC School Survey.

**Supportive Environment:** This element reflects how well the school establishes a culture where students feel safe, challenged to grow, and supported to meet high expectations. This section uses data from the Quality Review, the NYC School Survey, the percentage of students with attendance rates of 90% or higher, and movement of students with IEPs to less restrictive environments.

**Effective School Leadership:** This element reflects how well school leadership inspires the school community with a clear instructional vision and effectively distributes leadership to realize this vision. This section uses data from the Quality Review and the NYC School Survey.

**Strong Family-Community Ties:** This element reflects how well the school forms effective partnerships with families to improve the school. This section uses data from the Quality Review and the NYC School Survey.

**Trust:** This element reflects whether the relationships between administrators, educators, students, and families are based on trust and respect. This section uses data from the NYC School Survey.

**Student Achievement:** This element is based on a school's state test results, how students performed in core courses and how well students were prepared for their next level of school, and how students in higher-need groups performed.

## Scores and Ratings

School Quality Report scores are on a 1.00 - 4.99 scale, and ratings are on a fourlevel scale. In the School Quality Guide, the four levels are called Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, and Not Meeting Target. In the School Quality Snapshots, the four levels are called Excellent, Good, Fair, and Needs Improvement, and are presented as 1-4 bars in a graphic.

Example of a 4-bar rating in Rigorous Instruction:



## **New York State School Designations**

New York State implements a state accountability system, which measures student performance on NYS ELA and math exams and Regents exams as well as graduation rates. State accountability status does not affect the School Quality Report ratings. State accountability status is reported on the School Quality Snapshot and the School Performance Dashboard.

## Definitions

## **School Quality Report School Type**

School Quality Reports are provided for the following school types:

School Type	Grades and Students Served
Early Childhood School	K, K–1, K–2, K–3
Elementary School	K–4, K–5, and K–6
K-8 School*	K–7, K–8, and K–12 (minus grades 9–12)
Middle School	5–8, 6–8, and 6–12 (minus grades 9–12)
District 75 School	K-8 and K-12, focused on students with IEPs
High School	9–12, K–12 (minus grades K–8), and 6–12 (minus grades 6–8)
Transfer High School	9–12, focused on overage and under-credited students.

\* If a new K–8 school has grade 6 but does not yet have grades 3 or 4 it will be considered a middle school until it adds one of those grades.

A school that serves grades K-12 receives two separate School Quality Reports: one for the K-8 part of the school, and one for the high school.

Similarly, a school that serves grades 6–12 receives two separate School Quality Reports: one for the middle school, and one for the high school.

This document explains the rules for the School Quality Reports for three school types: elementary schools, K–8 schools, and middle schools. Separate Educator Guides explain the rules for the other school types.

## NYC School Survey School Type

School Type	Grades and Students Served
Elementary School	K–5, and K–6
K–8 School*	K-8
6–12/K–12	K–12, 6–12
Middle School	5–8, 6–8
High School	9–12
Transfer High School	Transfer schools serving grades 9–12
District 75 School	District 75 schools
Pre-K	РК

## **Comparison Group**

See the Comparison Group section of this guide for a detailed explanation of a school's Comparison Group.

## **Economic Need Index**

The Economic Need Index (ENI) estimates the percentage of students at the school facing economic hardship. The metric is calculated as follows:

- If the student is eligible for public assistance from the NYC Human Resources Administration (HRA) or lived in temporary housing in the past four years, the student's Economic Need Value is 1.
- Otherwise, the student's Economic Need Value is the percentage of families with school-age children in the student's Census tract whose income is below the poverty level, as estimated by the American Community Survey 5-Year Estimate. This percentage is converted to a decimal from 0.00 to 1.00.
- The school's Economic Need Index is the average of its students' Economic Need Values.

The Economic Need Index captures economic factors that affect student achievement without relying on student lunch forms, which can be burdensome and unreliable.

To protect confidentiality, schools with an HRA or ENI over 95% will be reported as "over 95%" instead of their exact values.

## Students in a School's Lowest Third

For students in grades 4 and 5, the school's lowest third in ELA is the third of students in each grade at the school who scored the lowest on the New York State ELA exam in third grade. For students in grades 6 through 8, the school's lowest third in ELA is the third of students in each grade at the school who scored the lowest on the New York State ELA exam in fifth grade.

The school's lowest third in mathematics is calculated in the same way, based on the third of students in each grade at the school who scored the lowest on the New York State math exam in third and fifth grade.

### **Students in Lowest Third Citywide**

For students in grades 4 and 5, the lowest third citywide in ELA is the third of students in each grade throughout the city who scored the lowest on the New York State ELA exam in third grade. For students in grades 6-8, the lowest third citywide in ELA is the third of students in each grade throughout the city who scored the lowest on the New York State ELA exam in fifth grade.

The lowest third citywide in mathematics is calculated the same way, based on the third of students in each grade throughout the city who scored the lowest on the New York State math exam in third and fifth grade.

Grade	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math
4	2.47	2.23
5	2.63	2.23
Grade	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math
Grade 6	<b>Grade 5 ELA</b> 1.94	Grade 5 Math 2.07
<b>Grade</b> 6 7	Grade 5 ELA 1.94 1.94	<b>Grade 5 Math</b> 2.07 2.07

## Minimum N (Number of Students)

In general, a school's metric value is not reported if fewer than 15 students contributed to the metric. For the following subgroup metrics, the minimum number of students required is five: ELA and math average proficiency rating for ELLs, students in a Special Class, ICT students, and SETSS students.

Metrics with fewer than the minimum number of students are not reported because of confidentiality considerations and the unreliability of measurements based on small numbers.

In addition, if fewer than 25% of eligible students took the Grade 3–8 State tests in ELA or math, the State-test metrics in that subject will be N/A. In these cases, the limited data may not be representative of student performance across the school.

## **Attribution of Students to Schools**

Students are attributed to schools based on the October 31, 2022, audited register. We use the enrollment from this register because it is audited for accuracy and used to allocate funds to schools.

## **Performance Levels**

New York State assigns Performance Levels 1, 2, 3, and 4 to scale scores on the State ELA and math exams. These performance levels reflect the extent to which students demonstrate the level of understanding expected at their grade level, based on the New York State learning standards.

Level 1	Students performing at this level are well below proficient in standards for their grade. They demonstrate knowledge, skills, and practices that are considered insufficient for the expectations at this grade.
Level 2	Students performing at this level are below proficient in standards for their grade. They demonstrate knowledge, skills, and practices that are considered partial but insufficient for the expectations at this grade.
Level 3	Students performing at this level are proficient in standards for their grade. They demonstrate knowledge, skills, and practices that are considered sufficient for the expectations at this grade.

Level 4 Students performing at this level excel in standards for the	
	They demonstrate knowledge, skills, and practices that are
	considered more than sufficient for the expectations at this grade.

## **Proficiency Ratings**

For the School Quality Reports, the scale scores on State math and ELA exams are assigned a Proficiency Rating from 1.00–4.50. The first digit of the Proficiency Rating corresponds to the Performance Level, and the other digits reflect how close the student is to the next level. For example, a 2.90 is a Level 2, but close to a Level 3.

#### Student Attribution for State ELA and math exams

Note: Proficiency ratings on the School Quality Reports may vary slightly from the New York State Education Department's reported numbers due to differences in how NYCPS and NYSED attribute students to schools. NYCPS uses the attribution rules found in this guide for all students across all metrics in the School Quality Reports. NYSED's calculations exclude students with Individualized Education Plans (IEPs) in grades K–8 who have a home address in a different community school district than their school's address and students who were not continuously enrolled at a school from BEDS day (October 5, 2022) through the exam date.

#### Impact of Math Double-Testing Waiver

For the 2022–23 school year, the United States Department of Education approved a math-testing waiver submitted by the New York State Education Department. Under this waiver, students in grade 7 and 8 who take math Regents examinations are not required to take the State math test for their grade level. After this waiver, NYCPS implemented a policy that students in accelerated math courses should not take the grade 7 or 8 State math tests unless (1) the student's parent decided otherwise or (2) the school obtained an exception from the Office of Academic Policy and Systems for a course aligned to both grade 7 or 8 standards and high-school math standards.

Due to the double-testing waiver, a number of students—including some of the strongest performers—do not take the grade 7 and 8 State math tests. To prevent this policy from distorting the performance data and ratings in the School Quality Reports, NYCPS includes student results on math Regents examinations in the state-test metrics by converting the math Regents scores into imputed proficiency ratings on the grade 7 and 8 State math tests. These imputed proficiency ratings—based on NYCPS's analysis of students who took both the math Regents exam and grade 7 or 8 State math test—estimate what scores on a math Regents exam are equivalent to on the grade 7 or 8 State math test. The imputed proficiency ratings are used in all metrics or calculations based on proficiency ratings (e.g., average proficiency ratings, percent proficient).

To discourage unnecessary double testing, NYCPS uses only the Regents exam score for students who take both a math Regents exam and the grade 7 or 8 State math test. Conversion tables showing the imputed proficiency ratings for scores on the Regents exams will be available in Appendix A of this Educator Guide.

## **Demographic Information**

This section describes the demographics information reported in the School Quality Reports, including the School Quality Snapshot.

## **Student Subgroup Demographics**

## Percent of Students Enrolled in the School

The first set of values reflect students in grades K–8 who are enrolled on the audited register as of October 31, 2022, by racial/ethnic subgroup: Asian, Black, Hispanic or Latinx, Native American, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, and White. Following NYSED reporting guidelines, any student identified as ethnically Hispanic is included only in the Hispanic category, regardless of which racial groups the student is in. Any non-Hispanic student who is identified in more than one category counts as Multiracial and is not included in the individual categories.

The next set of values reflect students in grades K–8 who are enrolled on the audited register as of October 31, 2022, by gender: Female, Male, and Neither Female nor Male. Gender is recorded on student enrollment paperwork and can be changed on request.

## Percent of Students Enrolled in the District

NYCPS students in grades K–5/6–8/K–8 who are enrolled on the audited register as of October 31, 2022, attending a school inside of the school's district by racial/ethnic subgroup.

## Percent of Grade K–8 Public School Students Living within X Miles

NYCPS students in grades K–5/6–8/K–8 who are enrolled on the audited register as of October 31, 2022, residing inside of the school's nearby area, by racial/ethnic subgroup: Asian, Black, Hispanic or Latinx, Native American, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, and White.

The school's nearby area is calculated as the median distance of students' home addresses from the school address in miles, based on students enrolled in the school on the audited register as of October 31, 2022. Based on current students' home addresses, NYCPS projects that a typical family living within this nearby area would be willing to have their child travel the necessary distance to attend this school. If the school's racial percentages are not representative of the racial percentages of public-school students living in the nearby area, this may indicate that the reason for the school's racial make-up relates more to school factors (e.g., admissions) than to housing factors.

## Percent of Students Receiving Special Education Programs

This metric includes all students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) as of June 2023, where the IEP recommends special education programs. Types of programs include Special Class (SC), Integrated Co-Teaching (ICT), and Special Education Teacher Support Services (SETSS). A student is reflected as "fully receiving" if there is an exact match between the IEP and the course enrollment in the STARS scheduling system. If the student is receiving some subjects or services

but not all recommended subjects or services this is reflected as "partially receiving." Students with no STARS data or no matching program are reflected as "not receiving."

### Percent of Students Receiving Recommended Related Services

This metric includes all students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) as of June 2023, where the IEP recommends related services. This includes services such as speech therapy, occupational therapy, physical therapy, and counseling. If the student's received services match all of the recommended services, the student is listed as "fully receiving." If they have some but not all services, this is "partially receiving." A student with a recommendation but no services is reflected as "not receiving."

## **Teacher Racial Subgroup Demographics**

Any school staff member who is active and in a teacher title as of October 31, 2022, by racial subgroup: Asian, Black, Hispanic or Latinx, Native American, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, and White.

A value for this metric is displayed when there are at least 5 people in a given category.

## Student Achievement Metrics

This section describes the Student Achievement metrics. The School Quality Snapshot includes a subset of those metrics.

## **State Exam Metrics**

To be included in the denominator for the state-exam metrics, a student must

- Be on the school's October 31, 2022, audited register, and
- Have taken the relevant New York State ELA or math exam in 2023.

The following metrics are calculated separately for ELA and math based on students' performance on the 2023 State exams.

If fewer than 25% of eligible students took the state tests in that subject, the ELA and/or math metric values will be N/A. In these cases, the limited data may not be representative of student performance across the school.

## Percentage of Students at Proficiency (Level 3 or 4): ELA and Math

These metrics show the percentage of students who scored at Level 3 or Level 4 on the State exam, out of all the students at the school who took the exam. The metrics are calculated separately for ELA and math.

## Average Proficiency Rating for All Students: ELA and Math

These metrics show the average Proficiency Rating, on a scale from 1.00 to 4.50, for all students at the school who took the exam. The metrics are calculated separately for ELA and math.

## ► Average Proficiency Rating for School's Lowest Third: ELA and Math

These metrics show the average Proficiency Rating, on a scale from 1.00 to 4.50, for the lowest-performing third of students within each grade in the school. The metrics are calculated separately for ELA and math.

For students in grades 4 and 5, the lowest third is based on the students' scores on the relevant test in third grade. For students in grades 6 through 8, the lowest third is based on the students' scores on the relevant test in fifth grade.

## **Core Course Pass Rate Metrics**

(Middle and K-8 schools only)

To be included in the core course pass rate metric, a student must

Be continuously enrolled in the school from October 31, 2022 through June 30, 2023;

- Be in 6<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, or 8<sup>th</sup> grade in 2022–23; and
- Be eligible for standard assessment (i.e., non-NYSAA).

Credits obtained during summer school do not contribute to this metric.

## ► Core Course Pass Rates: English, Math, Science, and Social Studies (middle and K–8 schools only)

These metrics show the percentage of students in 6<sup>th</sup> through 8<sup>th</sup> grade who received a passing grade in a full-year core course in the relevant subject area. School grading policies must be based primarily on student progress toward and mastery of the New York State learning standards. For additional guidance, see the <u>Middle</u> <u>School Academic Policy Guide</u>.

The metrics are calculated separately for English, math, science, and social studies. The School Quality Snapshot includes a single core course pass rate, which is the average of the core course pass rates in the four subjects.

## **Next-Level Readiness Metrics**

### Middle School Core Course Pass Rates of Former Students (Elementary Schools Only)

This metric shows how the school's 2021–22 5<sup>th</sup> graders performed as 6<sup>th</sup> graders in 2022–23 by showing their pass rates in core courses in English, math, science, and social studies. To be included in this metric, a student must

- Have been in 5<sup>th</sup> grade in 2021–22;
- Have been continuously enrolled in the elementary school under consideration from October 31, 2021, through June 30, 2022;
- Be enrolled in an NYCPS middle or K–8 school from October 31, 2022, through June 30, 2023; and
- Be eligible for standard assessment (i.e., non-NYSAA).

This metric accounts for the middle schools that students attend by adjusting for the average core course pass rate of similar students at the middle school.

If a student attended a charter middle or K–8 school that did not report credits to NYCPS, the student is excluded from the metric.

## Percent of 8<sup>th</sup> Grade Students Who Earned High School Credit (Middle and K–8 Schools Only)

This metric shows the percentage of students in 8<sup>th</sup> grade who passed a high-schoollevel course and the related Regents exam by June of their 8<sup>th</sup> grade year. To be included in this metric, a student must

Be continuously enrolled in the school from October 31, 2022, through June 30, 2023;

- Be in 8<sup>th</sup> grade in 2022–23; and
- Be eligible for standard assessment (i.e., non-NYSAA).

To contribute positively to this metric, the student must pass the course and earn a college-ready score on the related Regents exam. Students who earned high-school credit in more than one subject count the same as those who earned credit in one subject.

Schools in the New York Performance Standards Consortium with middle-school grades will receive N/A for this metric on their middle-school School Quality Report. Because this metric requires students to have earned a college-ready score on the Regents exam in 8th grade, it is not applicable to these schools since they do not give Regents exams in all subjects. For purposes of calculating the Student Achievement score, the weight attributed to this metric will be proportionally distributed to the remaining metrics for the school (as occurs in general when a school has N/A on a Student Achievement metric).

## ▶ 9th Grade Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders (middle and K–8 schools only)

This metric is based on the 9<sup>th</sup>-grade credit accumulation of the school's 2021–22 8<sup>th</sup> graders who attended an NYC DOE high school in 2022–23. To be included in this metric, a student must

- Have been in 8<sup>th</sup> grade in 2021–22;
- Have been continuously enrolled in the middle or K–8 school under consideration from October 31, 2021, through June 30, 2022;
- Be enrolled in an NYCPS high school from October 31, 2022, through June 30, 2023; and
- Be eligible for standard assessment (i.e., non-NYSAA).

Students contribute to the numerator of this metric as follows:

- A student will contribute zero to the numerator of this metric if the student earned less than eight credits in 9<sup>th</sup> grade.
- Students that earned ten or more credits contribute one to the numerator.
- For students earning less than ten credits and more than 7.99, this metric adjusts for the average credit accumulation rate of similar students at the high school.

If a student attended a charter high school that did not report credits to NYCPS, the student is excluded from the metric.

If more than 50% of a middle school's former 8<sup>th</sup> graders attend non-NYCPS high schools, a metric value is not calculated for that school.

## **Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics**

These metrics reflect how well the school helps high-need students succeed. In some cases, schools will not receive ratings for these metrics because those students make up a very small proportion of the school's student population.

The metric values show the school's results for its students in the relevant subgroup. The metric value is not reported if the school has fewer than five students in the subgroup. Metric scores and ratings show how the school's results compared to its customized targets. A metric will not be scored, however, if the students are a very small proportion of the school—specifically, if the school's population percentage is more than one standard deviation below the citywide average. These unscored metrics receive a rating of "N/A" in the School Quality Snapshot.

The following table summarizes these rules:

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics		
No metric value if	Fewer than minimum N for the metric.	
No metric score or rating if	School's population percentage is more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.	

## English Language Learner Progress

This metric measures the percentage of English language learners demonstrating movement toward English language proficiency. To contribute to the denominator of this measure, a student must have taken the 2023 New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT).

Students will contribute positively to this measure if they meet one of three criteria:

- They took the 2022 NYSESLAT exam and their 2023 overall performance level is higher than in 2022;
- They did not take the 2022 NYSESLAT exam and their 2023 overall performance level is Emerging or higher; or
- They scored level three or above on the State ELA exam in 2023 but not in 2022.

## Average Student Proficiency Rating in ELA and Math Among: Students with Special Class Placements; Students with ICT Placements; Students with SETSS Placements; English Language Learners; Students in the Lowest Third Citywide; Black and Hispanic Males in the Lowest Third Citywide

These metrics show the average proficiency ratings from the following student groups: (1) students with IEPs in Special Class placements, (2) students with IEPs in ICT placements, (3) students with IEPs in SETSS placements, and (4) English language learners. The most restrictive disability setting to which a student was assigned during the past four school years is used to determine inclusion in the first three groups. Any student identified as an English language learner for any of the

past four school years will be included in the measures focused on ELLs. Students are counted in all groups to which they belong.

These metrics are calculated separately for ELA and math.

#### Performance by Racial/Ethnic Subgroups

<u>Snapshot</u>: The School Quality Snapshot includes the following performance metrics for Asian, Black, Hispanic, and White students:

- ELA Percent Proficient
- Math Percent Proficient

The Snapshot includes a graphic that shows each subgroup's metric value.

The minimum N for the subgroup metrics is 15; the metric value will be N/A if the number of students is less than 15.

<u>School Quality Reports</u>: In addition to the metrics listed above (ELA and math percent proficient), the School Performance Dashboard and Citywide Results file will include the following performance metrics for Asian, Black, Hispanic, Multiracial, Native American, and White students:

- Average ELA Proficiency Rating
- Average Math Proficiency Rating

#### "Then and Now" Table

The School Quality Snapshot includes a table showing key student results broken out by students' starting points.

For middle and K–8 schools, the table shows performance on State math and ELA tests in 8th grade broken out by 5th grade starting points (Level 1, 2, 3–4):

- Among students who started at Level 3 or 4 in 5<sup>th</sup> grade, the percentage that scored Level 3 or 4 in 8<sup>th</sup> grade;
- Among students who started at Level 2 in 5<sup>th</sup> grade, the percentage that scored Level 3 or 4 in 8<sup>th</sup> grade; and
- Among students who started at Level 1 in 5<sup>th</sup> grade, the percentage that scored Level 2, 3, or 4 in 8<sup>th</sup> grade.

For 2022–23, the elementary school "Then and Now" table is not displayed. This is because 5<sup>th</sup>-grade students in 2022–23 did not take the State math and ELA tests when they were in 3<sup>rd</sup> grade, as those tests were optional in response to COVID-19.

For middle and K-8 schools, the table shows performance on state Math and ELA tests in 8th grade broken out by 5th grade starting points (Level 1, 2, 3-4):

- Among students who started at Level 3 or 4 in 5<sup>rd</sup> grade, the percentage that scored Level 3 or 4 in 8<sup>th</sup> grade;
- Among students who started at Level 2 in 5<sup>th</sup> grade, the percentage that scored Level 3 or 4 in 8<sup>th</sup> grade; and
- Among students who started at Level 1 in 5<sup>th</sup> grade, the percentage that scored Level 2, 3, or 4 in 8<sup>th</sup> grade.

The starting point Levels are based on rescaled test scores, so that a starting point of Level 1 reflects a score on a prior version of the state exam that would be equivalent to a Level 1 on the most recent state exam.

Values are not reported if there are fewer than 15 students in the category.

#### Attendance

The attendance rate includes the attendance for all K–8 students on a school's register at any point during the period September 2022 through June 2023. The attendance rate is calculated by adding together the total number of days attended by all students and dividing it by the total number of days on register for all students.

Pre-K attendance is excluded for any school that has a pre-K grade. Students in grades 6-8 are not included in the high school report of a 6-12 school, and students in grades K–8 are not included in the high school report of a K–12 school.

## Student Achievement Scores and Ratings

The School Quality Reports include scores and ratings based on schools' performance across Student Achievement metrics relative to citywide averages and their Comparison Group estimates. These scores for every Student Achievement metric are used to calculate an overall Student Achievement score on a 1–4.99 scale.

## **Metric Scores and Ratings**

For each metric, the school received a metric score from 1.00 to 4.99 based on their students' raw performance and their impact on their students, as determined through their Comparison Group. The metric scores are calculated through the following steps:

- Step 1: A performance score for each Student Achievement metric is calculated using the methodology detailed in the Impact and Performance Scores section of this guide. This score is determined by comparing the school's value for a Student Achievement metric to the citywide average for that metric.
- Step 2: An impact score for each Student Achievement metric is calculated using the methodology detailed in the Impact and Performance Scores section of this guide. This score is determined by comparing the school's value for a Student Achievement metric to their comparison group.1
- Step 3: Using the Student Achievement metric weights, an overall performance score is calculated for the school based on the weighted average of each Student Achievement metric score. Again, this score is detailed in the Impact and Performance Scores section of this guide.
- Step 4: The school's overall performance score is inserted into the following quadratic equation, which determines the weights placed on performance and impact for each Student Achievement metric score and the school's overall Student Achievement score. Similarly to how the previous targets functioned, this formula allows NYCPS to weigh performance more heavily for schools with particularly low or high values across Student Achievement metrics and weigh impact more heavily for schools performing in the middle. The quadratic formula used is  $y = 3.8(x 0.5)^2 + 0.05$ , where x is the school's performance weight.

The school's impact weight is set as the inverse of the performance weight, or (1-y).

- Why do we use this formula?: The graph of a quadratic equation is 0 a parabola, or a U-shaped curve. Given the continuous nature of this graph, we can increase the weight given to performance at the extremes without a large change in weights between schools with similar performance scores. This specific formula centers the graph along the midpoint of the minimum and maximum possible performance scores, 0 and 1 respectively. Centering the graph around the midpoint ensures that high and low performance are weighed similarly – for example, a school with a performance score of 0.8 should receive the same performance weight as a school with a score of 0.2 as they are equally far from the midpoint. The last piece of the formula, adding 0.05, ensures that performance will be weighed at least 5% for all schools. In this equation, the coefficient, a, is determined by setting the maximum and minimum performance scores (x=0 and x=1) equal to a weight of 1 and solving. The resulting value for a is 3.8. The final equation is y = 3.8(x - x) $(0.5)^2+0.05$ . Since this equation is based on the realm of possible minimums and maximums and not on performance in a specific year, it can be used year over year across all school types.
- **Example:** A school has an overall performance score of 0.62 on a scale of 0-1. The school's performance weight is calculated by solving for y:  $y = 3.8(0.62-0.5)^2+0.05$ . The school's performance weight is thus 0.1047, or 10.47%. The school's impact weight is then 1-0.1047 = 0.8953, or 89.53%.
- **Step 5:** Using the school's performance weight and impact weight calculated in Step 4, we take a weighted average of the metric performance and impact scores to find the overall metric score.
  - **Example:** Consider a school with a performance weight of 10% and an impact weight of 90%. If this school has a metric performance score of 0.6 and a metric impact score of 0.9 for a given student achievement metric, then the school's metric score for this given metric would be  $(0.6 \times 0.10) + (0.9 \times 0.9) = 0.87$ .
- Step 6: This value is rescaled to a 1– 4.99 scale for consistency in scoring across years.
  - **Example:** A school with a metric score of 0.87 receives a rescaled score of 4.47 for this metric score:  $(0.87 \times 3.99) + 1 = 4.47$ .

The score is analogous to the state test proficiency ratings based on scale scores: the first digit indicates the rating level, and the subsequent digits show how close the result is to the next level.

- If the first digit of the metric score is 1, the school is considered "Not Meeting Target" for that metric.
- If the first digit of the metric score is 2, the school is considered "Approaching Target" for that metric.
- If the first digit of the metric score is 3, the school is considered "Meeting Target" for that metric.
- If the first digit of the metric score is 4, the school is considered "Exceeding Target" for that metric.

The subsequent digits reflect how close the school's value was to the next higher metric rating level.

## Weighted Average Score

The Weighted Average Score is a weighted average of the Student Achievement metric scores, where each metric score is multiplied by its weight percentage. If any metrics are missing, their weight is distributed proportionally to the other metrics.

The weight percentage for each metric is listed in the School Quality Scoring Appendix, which can be accessed at the top of the School Quality Guide by selecting "Related Sites" and at the bottom of the School Quality Snapshot.

## **Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics**

In the 2022-23 School Quality Reports, the metrics formerly known as "Closing the Achievement Gap" are factored into the Student Achievement rating through the weighted average score, the same way that all other Student Achievement metrics are included. There are no additional points awarded for Closing the Achievement Gap metrics this year.

## **Overall Student Achievement Score and Rating**

The Overall Student Achievement Score is equal to the weighted average score, rounded to the nearest hundredth, and capped at 4.99, multiplied by a rating constant. This rating constant functions similarly to the percentile cutoffs used in previous School Quality Reports. The rating constants for the 2022–23 School Quality Reports are detailed in the table below.

• **Example:** A school has a weighted average of 0.56, which is an Overall Student Achievement Score of 3.25. To find the Overall Student Achievement Score, we multiply the school's weighted average by the rating constant for that school type,  $1.13 \times 0.56 = 0.67$ , and rescale to a 1.00–4.99 scale. The Overall Student Achievement Score is 3.67.

School Type	Student Achievement Rating Constant
Elementary schools	1.44
Middle schools	1.25
K –8 schools	1.41
High schools	1.13
Transfer high schools	1.32

This Overall Student Achievement Score is also equivalent to the weighted average of the school's overall performance score and overall impact score multiplied by the school's performance and impact weights, respectively, multiplied by the rating constant.

The Student Achievement section rating is based on the first digit of the Overall Student Achievement Score:

- If the first digit is 4, the section rating is Exceeding Target.
- If the first digit is 3, the section rating is Meeting Target.
- If the first digit is 2, the section rating is Approaching Target.
- If the first digit is 1, the section rating is Not Meeting Target.

Schools designated for phase-out and schools in their first year of operation in 2022–23 do not receive a Student Achievement rating.

## **Rating Labels in the Guide and Snapshot**

The ratings in the School Quality Snapshot are the same as in the School Quality Guide, except that different rating labels are used in the Snapshot:

School Quality Guide Rating Labels	School Quality Snapshot Rating Labels
Exceeding Target	Excellent
Meeting Target	Good
Approaching Target	Fair
Not Meeting Target	Needs Improvement

## Student Achievement Metric Comparisons

In addition to the scores and ratings, the School Quality Reports provide context for a school's performance by sharing city averages, district averages, and the results of a Comparison Group of similar students throughout the city.

## **City and Borough Averages**

In general, we calculate city and borough averages by taking n-weighted averages of school-level results for all schools within the same school type. The n-weighting is based on the number of students at each school included in the metric; it means that a school with many students included in a metric will count more toward the city and borough averages than a school with fewer students included in that metric.

For ELA and math percent proficient, city and district averages are calculated differently from the general approach. For elementary schools, these averages are based on results from students in grades 3 through 5. For middle schools, these averages are based on results from students in grades 6 through 8. For K-8, these averages are based on results from students in grades 3 through 8.

## **Comparison Group's Results**

To understand how effectively a school helps its students, it is important to consider students' starting points and challenges. Without that context, schools can be mischaracterized as ineffective simply because they serve higher-need students.

New York City Public Schools measures many student achievement metrics to assess school quality through these reports. Some examples of these metrics are student scores on ELA and math State tests, students earning 9<sup>th</sup> grade credit, and NYSESLAT proficiency. For a complete list of Student Achievement metrics, see the Student Achievement – Metrics section of this document.

The School Quality Reports provide context for each school's performance on these metrics by predicting how students at that school would have performed had they enrolled in the hypothetical "average" New York City public school. This "average"

school is not a specific existing school in NYC; rather, it serves as a benchmark to gauge "if students at a given school, school ABC, had instead enrolled at a random school in the NYC Public School system, what performance level would these students have achieved?" This depends on the school's quality, as shown in the graphic below.



We refer to this benchmark as the "Comparison Group" performance level for the students at school ABC. By comparing School ABC's results to the Comparison Group estimate, a reader can assess School ABC's effectiveness at helping students improve and exceed expected outcomes.

New York City Public Schools worked with <u>MIT Blueprint Labs</u> to develop an updated methodology for Comparison Groups beginning in the 2023 School Quality Reports.

To create Comparison Groups for each school, we use a statistical technique called regression. This technique allows us to measure the relationship between the quality of an individual school and the outcomes of its students. We are also able to control for factors that shape students' starting points and challenges—such as incoming test scores, socio-economic status, English language proficiency, and special education program recommendations—which enables us to measure a school's effectiveness or impact on their students' achievement independent of student background. We measure how a school's impact on students compares to what we would expect students to achieve had they enrolled in the "average" New York City public school, shown as the Comparison Group performance level in the graphic below.



In this graphic, 4-year graduation rates for school ABC and XYZ combine two key components: 1) Comparison Group graduation rates, which measure how School ABC or XYZ's students would have achieved if they enrolled in the "average" NYC school; and 2) the impact School ABC or XYZ had on their students' graduation rates. In this case, we can see that students have benefitted from enrolling in School ABC because their graduation rate was 86%, which is significantly higher than the 81% Comparison Group graduation rate that we would expect had these students enrolled in the "average" NYC school instead. We would say then that School ABC positively affects its students' outcomes. In contrast, students at School XYZ did not benefit as much from enrolling in School XYZ. While 90% of School XYZ's students graduated, we expect that 94% of these students would have graduated had they enrolled in the "average" NYC school. This suggests that School XYZ is less effective than the average NYC school at improving student graduation. Additionally, School ABC appears more effective than School XYZ at improving student graduation.

For readers with a statistics background, there are three steps to make Comparison Group performance for each school and each Student Achievement metric:

- **Step 1:** Student outcomes are regressed on enrolled school indicators. This regression model allows us to measure the relationship between school enrollment and student outcomes. Our outputs are indicative of the effect schools have on their students' achievement. To isolate the extent to which schools impact, or cause, certain outcomes in their students from the backgrounds of their students, this regression model controls for student demographics, baseline student achievement, and grade fixed effects (which control for variations in school quality across grade levels). Regressions for high schools add baseline curricular achievement controls. Step 1 allows us to determine the effect of enrolling in a certain school on each Student Achievement metric outcome.
  - Note: The baseline student achievement metrics used as controls are 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade attendance rate and State test scores for middle schools and K-8 upper grades. For high schools, baseline achievement metrics come from grades 6th, 7th, and 8th and also include curricular achievement controls. For elementary schools, baseline scores are not available for all students within a school, so the model replaces scores for these students with city-wide mean scores. This is also the case for a few schools across school types where many students do not have baseline scores. For these schools, missing scores are replaced by city-wide mean scores by grade, and additional control variables indicate that these scores have been imputed. In these cases, it should be noted that the Comparison Group estimates are not as robust.
- Step 2: The estimates of school quality obtained in Step 1 are adjusted by "shrinking" the estimated quality for each school closer to the mean quality for NYC. This step aims at removing the variation in quality across schools which result from the random nature of the specific sample of students used for estimation and does not reflect real differences in school quality. Step 2 corrects for the statistical error in the estimates from Step 1, allowing us to distill true differences in quality.
  - Note: For middle school and K-8 Impact scores displayed on the School Performance Dashboard, additional adjustments are made to improve the accuracy of school quality ratings and reduce their correlation with the demographic composition of the schools.
     Specifically, the correlation between school quality estimates and the racial make-up of their student body is removed. These adjusted school quality estimates more accurately predict school effects and

student outcomes. They are also uncorrelated with school racial make-up.

- Step 3: Step 3 uses Step 2 quality estimates to compute how students at each school would have performed had they enrolled in the hypothetical "average" NYC school. The resulting counterfactual estimate for each school is the "Comparison Group" value for the school. For each Student Achievement metric and each school, the Comparison Group value is the difference between a school's actual outcome and its school quality estimate from Step 2. The difference in performance between each school and its Comparison Group illustrates the impact that each school had on students' actual achievement.
  - Example: If a school had a rate of 96% for all students earning a level 3 or 4 on the ELA State test and their school quality estimate was 2.50, then their Comparison Group value would be 96 2.50 = 93.5. The Comparison Group value for this school's ELA proficiency rate for all students would be 93.5%, thus the school has outperformed expectations.

The Comparison Group results are shared in the School Quality Snapshot. They are also used to calculate a school's Impact score, which is shared in the School Performance Dashboard, and are considered when determining a school's overall Student Achievement score.

## **Impact and Performance Scores**

Impact and Performance scores are used to determine a school's Student Achievement score. For informational purposes, the School Performance Dashboard also summarizes the differences between the school's results and the Comparison Group's results as an "impact" score and summarizes the differences between the school's results and the citywide averages as a "performance" score. The impact score sheds light on the school's effectiveness by considering student factors and comparing the school's results to the Comparison Group of similar students. The performance score reflects whether the school outperformed the citywide average, without making any adjustments to account for the student population of the school.

#### **Impact Score Calculation**

We calculate the impact score through the following steps:

- For each Student Achievement metric, we calculate the difference between the school's result and their Comparison Group value.
  - **Example:** The school's ELA percent proficiency rate was 5 percentage points higher than its Comparison Group.
- We standardize these differences, translating the scores to a 0.00–1.00 scale. We use the range of differences for a particular metric and school type to rescale these differences, excluding outliers.
  - **Example:** The school's difference is 5 percentage points. The highest difference for ELA percent proficiency rate among high schools is 10 percentage points and the lowest difference is -12 percentage points, excluding outliers. The difference for this school is rescaled through the following calculation: (5--12)/(10--12) = 0.773.
- Any standardized difference outside of the 0.00–1.00 scale (the outlier values) is capped at 0 if it is negative and 1 if positive.
- We take a weighted average of the 0.00–1.00 standardized scores for each Student Achievement metric to produce an overall impact score for the school.

#### Performance Score Calculation

We calculate the performance score using the following method. The difference between impact and performance scores is that in the latter, the school's results are compared to the citywide average instead of the Comparison Group value.

- For each Student Achievement metric, we calculate the difference between the school's result and the citywide average.
  - **Example:** The school's ELA percent proficiency rate was 5 percentage points higher than the citywide average.
- We standardize these differences, translating the scores to a 0.00–1.00 scale. We use the range of differences for a particular metric and school type to rescale these differences, excluding outliers.
  - **Example:** The school's difference is 5 percentage points. The highest difference for ELA percent proficiency rate among high schools is 10 percentage points and the lowest difference is -12 percentage points, excluding outliers. The difference for this school is rescaled through the following calculation: (5--12)/(10--12) = 0.773.
- Any standardized difference outside of the 0.00–1.00 scale (the outlier values) is capped at 0 if it is negative and 1 if positive.
- We take a weighted average of the 0.00–1.00 scores for each Student Achievement metric to produce an overall performance score for the school.

#### School Performance Dashboard: Impact and Performance Scores

The impact and performance scores shown in the School Performance Dashboard are calculated using the above method, but they use a limited number of Student Achievement metrics. These simplified scores were developed by Blueprint Labs at MIT to be more predictive of student success and increase the identification of highquality schools that are under-subscribed. For middle schools, the impact score is "balanced" for student achievement, meaning that it eliminates the correlation between a school's impact and student body characteristics, including race. The Student Achievement metrics and their corresponding weights for the Dashboard impact and performance scores are detailed below.

Elementary, Middle, and K–8 Student Achievement Metric	Metric Weight
State tests – ELA rating – All	50%
State tests – Math rating - All	50%

## School Quality Elements Metrics and Data Sources

The elements included in the School Quality Reports use the following data sources:

Section	Data Sources
Rigorous Instruction	<ul> <li>NYC School Survey</li> <li>Quality Review indicators 1.1, 1.2, 2.2</li> </ul>
Collaborative Teachers	<ul> <li>NYC School Survey</li> <li>Quality Review indicators 4.1, 4.2</li> </ul>
Supportive Environment	<ul> <li>NYC School Survey</li> <li>Quality Review indicators 1.4, 3.4</li> <li>Chronic absenteeism (or average change in student attendance, for some school types)</li> <li>Movement of students with IEPs to less restrictive environments</li> </ul>
Effective School Leadership	<ul> <li>NYC School Survey</li> <li>Quality Review indicators 1.3, 3.1, 5.1</li> </ul>
Strong Family- Community Ties	<ul><li>NYC School Survey</li><li>Quality Review indicators 3.4</li></ul>
Trust	NYC School Survey

## **Quality Review**

The School Quality Report ratings incorporate results from the school's most recent Quality Review on the following indicators:

1.1	Rigorous, engaging, and coherent curricula aligned to the New York State standards.
1.2	Research-based, effective instruction that yields high quality student work.
1.3	Aligned resource use to support instructional goals that meet students' needs.
1.4	Structures for a positive learning environment, inclusive culture, and student success.
2.2	Curricula-aligned assessment practices that inform instruction.
3.1	School-level theory of action and goals shared by the school community.
3.4	A culture of learning that communicates and supports high expectations.
4.1	Support and evaluation of teachers through the Danielson Framework and analysis of learning outcomes
4.2	Teacher teams engaged in collaborative practice using the inquiry approach to improve classroom practice.
5.1	Regularly evaluate school-level decisions with a focus on the New York State standards.

Schools that received Quality Reviews in 2016–17 or later have ratings on all ten indicators. Schools that received their latest Quality Review in 2015–16 or earlier have ratings on five indicators: 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, 3.4, and 4.2.

For additional information about the Quality Review, please visit <a href="https://www.schools.nyc.gov/about-us/reports/school-quality/quality-review">https://www.schools.nyc.gov/about-us/reports/school-quality/quality-review</a>

## **NYC School Survey**

The NYC School Survey is administered annually to students in grades 6–12, and to parents and teachers of students in all grades (3-K through 12). The survey gathers information from school communities on the six School Quality Report elements.

The survey is organized as groups of questions relating to a measure, and groups of measures relating to an element.

• **Example:** The element of Rigorous Instruction is composed of four measures: Academic Press, Course Clarity, Quality of Student Discussion, and Strong Core Instruction. The NYC School Survey includes groups of questions related to each of those measures.

See Appendix B for a detailed explanation of the element-measure-question survey structure.

## Question-Level Percent Positive

For each survey question, we calculate the percentage of "positive" responses (excluding "I don't know" or missing responses from the denominator).

Positive responses are defined as those in the favorable half of response options (i.e., out of four possible response options, the two most favorable options are treated as positive responses).

The percent positive is displayed overall for all students and for each of the following subgroups: Asian, Black, Hispanic or Latinx, Native American, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, White, English language learners, and students with IEPs.

### Measure-Level Percent Positive

For each measure, we calculate the percentage of positive responses. This value is the average of the percent positives of all the questions within the measure.

### Element-Level Percent Positive

For each element, we calculate the percentage of positive responses. This value is not simply the straight average of the percent positives of all the questions within the element. Instead, this value is the average of the measure-level percent positives for all the measures within the element. (For example, the percent positive for the Rigorous Instruction element is the average of the percent positives on its four measures: Academic Press, Course Clarity, Quality of Student Discussion, and Strong Core Instruction.)

For additional information about the survey, please visit <u>https://www.schools.nyc.gov/about-us/reports/school-quality/nyc-school-survey</u> or email <u>surveys@schools.nyc.gov</u>

## **Other Metrics**

## Percentage of Students with Attendance Rates of 90% or Higher

This metric shows the percentage of students at the school with attendance rates of 90% or higher. Because chronic absenteeism is defined as students with attendance rates below 90%, this metric shows the percentage of students who are <u>not</u> chronically absent.

Each student's attendance rate is calculated by adding together the total number of days when the student was present and dividing it by the total number of days on register for the student at the school (the sum of the days when the student was present and the days when the student was absent). If a student's total number of days on register at the school is less than 20, the student's attendance rate is treated as N/A and the student does not contribute to this metric.

Pre-K attendance is excluded for any school that has a Pre-K grade. For K–12 schools, this metric is calculated separately for the K–8 grades and 9–12 grades. Similarly, for 6–12 schools, the metric is calculated separately for the 6–8 grades and the 9–12 grades.

## ► Movement of Students with IEPs to Less Restrictive Environments

This measure recognizes schools that educate students with IEPs in the least restrictive environment that is educationally appropriate. Students with an IEP during any of the last four school years are sorted into four tiers based on primary program recommendations and the amount of time spent with general education peers, as of the end of September of each year. The denominator for this measure includes all K–8 students with Tier Two or higher in any of the years 2021–22, 2020–21, or 2019–20. Students who are newly certified in 2022–23 are excluded.

The numerator contribution of each student is the highest tier number from the last four school years minus the tier number for 2022–23. This number can range from zero (for students who are in their highest tier in 2022–23) to three (for students who were previously in Tier Four and are in Tier One in 2022–23). Negative numbers are not possible; students who move to a more restrictive environment count the same as if they had always been in that setting.

Tier One—General education

- No IEP, or
- IEP with a recommendation of related services only

Tier Two-80-100% of time with general education peers

- Primary recommendation of SETSS or ICT, or
- Primary recommendation of Special Class, spend 80–100% of instructional periods with general education peers

Tier Three—40–79% of time with general education peers

 Primary recommendation of Special Class, spend 40–79% of instructional periods with general education peers

Tier Four—0–39% of time with general education peers

• Primary recommendation of Special Class, spend 0–39% of instructional periods with general education peers.

Students who start a less restrictive program at the beginning of 2022–23 count immediately, but if they start the less restrictive program mid-year, they will not contribute to the metric until the next year.

## School Quality Elements Scoring and Ratings

## **Scoring and Rating Structure**

- Step 1: Raw metric values are collected from the data sources.
- Step 2: Raw metric values are converted into metric scores, on a scale from 1.00 4.99.
- **Step 3:** The metric scores are combined to generate an element score.
- Step 4: The element score is used to generate an element rating.

This Technical Report explains this multi-step process for the different data sources and elements. It explains how raw metric values are converted into metric scores for Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, chronic absenteeism (and average change in student attendance), and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. It explains how the metric scores are combined to produce element scores for the six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust. It then explains how ratings are determined from the element scores.

## **Raw Values and Metric Scores**

This section explains how raw metric values are converted into metric scores for each of the different data sources in the School Quality Reports.

## **Quality Reviews**

Quality Review indicator ratings are converted into metric scores as follows:

QR Indicator Rating	Metric Score
Well Developed	4.99
Proficient	3.50
Developing	2.00
Under Developed	1.00

## **NYC School Survey**

For survey scoring, schools are categorized by a survey school type, and are compared to other schools of the same survey school type.

The scoring method for the NYC School Survey follows the structure of the survey, which was organized as groups of questions relating to a measure, and groups of measures relating to an element.<sup>6</sup>

The following process is used to generate a *survey element score*:

- Question-level percent positive (percentage of positive responses to a question)
   ↓
- 2) Measure-level percent positive (average of the question-level percent positive values for all questions within the measure)
- 3) Measure score (score based on the measure-level percent positive)
- Survey element score (average of measure scores for all measures within the element)

Each step in this process is described in detail below.

#### (1) Question-level percent positive

For each question, this metric is the percent of "positive" responses (excluding "I don't know" or missing responses from the denominator).

"Positive" responses are defined as those in the favorable half of response options (i.e., out of four possible response options, the two most favorable options are treated as positive responses).

#### (2) Measure-level percent positive

This metric is the average of the *question-level percent positive* values for all questions within the measure.

For example, Outreach to Parents is a measure within the element of Strong Family-Community Ties. The Outreach to Parents percent positive is the average of the question-level percent positive values on all the Outreach to Parents questions.

#### (3) Measure score

This metric converts the *measure-level percent positive* into a score on a 1.00-4.99 scale.

The basic idea is that survey results fairly close to the city average receive scores in the 3-bar range (3.00 - 3.99), results substantially above average receive scores in the 4-bar range (4.00 - 4.99), and results substantially below average receive scores in the 2-bar or 1-bar range (2.00 - 2.99 or 1.00 - 1.99). In addition, if a school's measure-level percent positive is very high, it will receive a high measure score (regardless of whether the result is substantially above the citywide average).

We implement this idea by setting cut levels (measure-level percent positive) for each rating category (e.g., the 4-bar category of Exceeding Target). The school's 1.00-4.99 measure score is based on the highest category achieved, and the distance to the next-higher cut level. The cut levels are based on the citywide average percent positive (PP) and the standard deviation (SD) among school-level results of schools. We use the "top of scoring range" and "bottom of scoring range" values to help calculate scores in the 4.00-4.99 range and the 1.00-1.99 range.

Rating Category	Percent Positive (PP) Cut Level
Top of Scoring Range	citywide mean + 2 SD, not to exceed 100
Exceeding Target (4 bars)	citywide mean PP + 0.75 SD, not to exceed 95
Meeting Target (3 bars)	citywide mean PP – 0.5 SD, not to exceed 90
Approaching Target (2 bars)	citywide mean PP – 1 SD, not to exceed 85
Bottom of Scoring Range	citywide mean + 2 SD, not to fall below 0

Examples:

- If a school's percent positive on a measure is halfway between the Meeting Target and Exceeding Target cut levels, it will receive a score of 3.50 on that measure.
- If a school's percent positive on a measure is one-quarter of the way between the Exceeding Target cut level and the Top of Scoring Range, it will receive a score of 4.25 on that measure.

Additional Notes:

- We set separate targets for each measure and for each survey school type. In other words, the citywide averages and standard deviations are calculated separately for each survey school type and for each measure.
  - For example, the target cut levels for a middle school will be based on the citywide average and standard deviation among middle schools only.
- To avoid drawing significant scoring distinctions based on small PP differences, we will not allow the SD in the formula to fall below 5 points.
- The top of the scoring range is set at least 5 percentage points above the Exceeding Target level (but not to exceed 100).
- The bottom of the scoring range is set at least 5 percentage points below the Approaching Target level (but not to fall below 0).

#### (4) Survey element score

This metric is the average of the *measure scores* for all measures within the element.

For example, the Strong Family-Community Ties element contains two measures: Teacher Outreach to Parents and Parent Involvement in the School. The school's *survey element score* for the Strong Family-Community Ties element is the average of the *measure score* for the Teacher Outreach to Parents measure and the *measure score* for the Parent Involvement in the School measure.

#### Low Response Rates and Numbers

Each School Quality Report element draws primarily from questions asked of one (or two) respondent groups. If there was a low response rate or very few responses submitted by that respondent group, then the *survey element score* will be N/A. The following table describes these situations:

School Quality Report Element	Standardized survey element score will be N/A if
Rigorous Instruction	<ul><li>Teacher response rate was less than 30%, or</li><li>Fewer than 5 teachers responded.</li></ul>

Collaborative Teachers	<ul><li>Teacher response rate was less than 30%, or</li><li>Fewer than 5 teachers responded.</li></ul>
Supportive Environment	<ul> <li>For Elementary Schools and Early Childhood Schools:</li> <li>Teacher response rate was less than 30%, or</li> <li>Fewer than 5 teachers responded.</li> </ul>
	For other school types:
	<ul> <li>Student response rate was less than 30%, or</li> <li>Fewer than 5 students responded.</li> </ul>
Effective School Leadership	<ul><li>Teacher response rate was less than 30%, or</li><li>Fewer than 5 teachers responded.</li></ul>
Strong Family- Community Ties	<ul> <li>Average of teacher response rate and parent response rate was less than 30%, or</li> <li>Fewer than 5 teachers responded, or</li> <li>Fewer than 5 parents responded.</li> </ul>
Trust	<ul> <li>Average of teacher response rate and parent response rate was less than 30%, or</li> <li>Fewer than 5 teachers responded, or</li> <li>Fewer than 5 parents responded.</li> </ul>

## Percentage of Students with 90% Attendance

The metric score for this metric is calculated like the Student Achievement metric scores: by weighing the school's impact and performance for student attendance. We calculate and report this metric separately for EMS grades and HS grades.

## Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments

The metric score for this metric is calculated like the Student Achievement metric scores: by weighing the school's impact and performance for moving students with IEPs to a less restrictive environment. We calculate and report this metric separately for EMS grades and HS grades. If a school spans both EMS grades and HS grades (and received metric values and scores for both school types), we use the average of the EMS score and the HS score for less restrictive environment for Framework scoring.

## **Element Scores**

## Weighted Average of Data Scores

The school's element scores are a weighted average of the scores from the data sources within each element category. The weights depend on whether the school received a Quality Review in 2016-17 or later (with ten rated indicators) or whether the school received its most recent Quality Review in 2015-16 or earlier

(with five rated indicators). If the survey response rates or numbers fall below specified thresholds, then the element score will be N/A.

The following table shows how scores from the different data sources are weighted and combined to produce the element scores:

## Weighted Combinations of Data Scores to Produce Element Scores

		0	
	Received Quality Review in 2016-17 or later	Most Recent Quality Review from 2015-16 or earlier	Low Survey Reponses
Rigorous Instruction			
Survey (Rigorous Instruction)	25%	25%	
Quality Review 1.1	25%	25%	If teacher response rate is less than 30% or fewer than 5 responses
Quality Review 1.2	25%	25%	
Quality Review 2.2	25%	25%	Element score is N/A.
Collaborative Teachers			
Survey (Collaborative Teachers)	50%	50%	If teacher response rate is less than 30%
Quality Review 4.1	25%	_	of Jewer than 5 responses
Quality Review 4.2	25%	50%	Element score is N/A.
Supportivo Environment			
Environment)	35%	35%	
Quality Review 1.4	15%	_	If teacher response rate is less than 30%
Quality Review 3.4	15%	30%	or fewer than 5 responses (for ES); If
Chronic Absenteeism			or fewer than 5 responses (for non-ES)
(Average Change in Attendance for Transfer Schools, YABCs, and District 75 Schools)	30%	30%	Element score is N/A.
Less Restrictive Environment	5%	5%	
Effective School Leadership			
Survey (Effective School			
Leadership)	40%	100%	If teacher response rate is less than 30%
Quality Review 1.3	20%	_	or fewer than 5 responses
Quality Review 3.1	20%	_	Element score is N/A.
Quality Review 5.1	20%	_	, _ , ,

Different Weights For Different Scenarios

#### Strong Family-Community Ties Survey (Strong Family-If average of teacher and parent 85% 85% response rates is at less than 30% or Community Ties) fewer than 5 teacher or parent responses 15% 15% Quality Review 3.4 Element score is N/A. Trust *If average of teacher and parent* response rates is at less than 30% or fewer than 5 teacher or parent Survey (Trust) 100% 100% responses Element score is N/A.

#### Examples:

- If the school received a finalized Quality Review Report in 2016-17, the school's element score for Collaborative Teachers = 0.50 x survey element score for Collaborative Teachers + 0.25 x QR 4.1 metric score + 0.25 x QR 4.2 metric score.
- If the school's most recent Quality Review was in 2014-15, the school's element score for Collaborative Teachers = 0.50 x survey element score for Collaborative Teachers + 0.50 x QR 4.2 metric score.
- For a middle school, if the student response rate was under 30%, the school's element score for Supportive Environment is N/A.

## **Missing Data**

If Quality Review data is unavailable for a district school, its element scores will be N/A for all elements except for Trust.

For charter schools, which do not receive Quality Reviews, any weight that would be applied to the Quality Review is shifted to the other data sources in the element. For example, a charter school's element score for Rigorous Instruction is based 100% on the survey.<sup>7</sup>

If a school does not have a score for Chronic Absenteeism, Average Change in Student Attendance, or Less Restrictive Environment, the weight for that metric is generally shifted to the other data sources in the element.

If a charter school's attendance rate is N/A, then its Supportive Environment element score and rating are N/A.

## **Element Ratings**

Element ratings are based on the first digit of the school's element score:

Rating	Element Score
Excellent (4 bars)	4.00 to 4.99
Good (3 bars)	3.00 to 3.99
Fair (2 bars)	2.00 to 2.99
Needs Improvement (1 bar)	1.00 to 1.99

Schools designated for phase-out or in their first year do not receive element scores or ratings.

## Appendix A Converting Regents Exams Scores into Imputed Proficiency Ratings

Conversion Table for Regents (Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II) and Grades 7 and 8 State Math Test 2022-2023

Regents Score	7th Grade Imputed	7th Grade Imputed	8th Grade	8th Grade Imputed
	Scaled Score	Proficiency Rating	Imputed Scaled	Proficiency Rating
			Score	
0	379	1.00	379	1.00
1	380	1.02	380	1.02
2	381	1.04	381	1.04
3	382	1.06	382	1.05
4	383	1.08	383	1.07
5	384	1.10	385	1.11
6	385	1.12	386	1.13
7	386	1.14	387	1.14
8	387	1.16	388	1.16
9	388	1.18	389	1.18
10	389	1.20	390	1.20
11	390	1.22	391	1.21
12	391	1.24	392	1.23
13	392	1.26	394	1.27
14	393	1.28	395	1.29
15	394	1.30	396	1.30
16	395	1.32	397	1.32
17	396	1.34	398	1.34
18	397	1.36	399	1.36
19	398	1.38	400	1.38
20	399	1.40	401	1.39
21	400	1.42	403	1.43
22	401	1.44	404	1.45
23	402	1.46	405	1.46
24	403	1.48	406	1.48
25	404	1.50	407	1.50
26	405	1.52	408	1.52
27	406	1.54	409	1.54
28	407	1.56	410	1.55
29	408	1.58	412	1.59
30	409	1.60	413	1.61
31	410	1.62	414	1.63
32	411	1.64	415	1.64

Regents Score	7th Grade Imputed	7th Grade Imputed	8th Grade Imputed	8th Grade
	Scaled Score	Proficiency Rating	Scaled Score	Imputed
				Proficiency Rating
33	412	1.66	416	1.66
34	413	1.68	417	1.68
35	414	1.70	418	1.70
36	415	1.72	419	1.71
37	416	1.74	421	1.75
38	417	1.76	422	1.77
39	418	1.78	423	1.79
40	419	1.80	424	1.80
41	420	1.82	425	1.82
42	421	1.84	426	1.84
43	422	1.86	427	1.86
44	423	1.88	428	1.88
45	424	1.90	430	1.91
46	425	1.92	431	1.93
47	426	1.94	432	1.95
48	427	1.96	433	1.96
49	428	1.98	434	1.98
50	430	2.00	436	2.00
51	431	2.05	437	2.08
52	432	2.11	437	2.08
53	433	2.16	438	2.15
54	434	2.21	439	2.23
55	435	2.26	439	2.23
56	436	2.32	440	2.31
57	437	2.37	440	2.31
58	438	2.42	441	2.38
59	439	2.47	442	2.46
60	439	2.47	442	2.46
61	440	2.53	443	2.54
62	441	2.58	444	2.62
63	442	2.63	444	2.62
64	443	2.68	445	2.69
65	444	2.74	445	2.69
66	445	2.79	446	2.77

Regents Score	7th Grade Imputed Scaled Score	7th Grade Imputed Proficiency Rating	8th Grade Imputed Scaled Score	8th Grade Imputed Proficiency Rating
67	446	2.84	447	2.85
68	447	2.89	447	2.85
69	448	2.95	448	2.92
70	450	3.00	450	3.00
71	453	3.12	453	3.10
72	455	3.20	456	3.20
73	458	3.32	460	3.33
74	461	3.44	463	3.43
75	463	3.52	466	3.53
76	466	3.64	469	3.63
77	469	3.76	473	3.77
78	471	3.84	476	3.87
79	474	3.96	479	3.97
80	477	4.00	482	4.00
81	479	4.03	484	4.03
82	480	4.05	485	4.05
83	482	4.08	487	4.08
84	483	4.09	488	4.09
85	485	4.12	490	4.12
86	487	4.15	492	4.15
87	488	4.17	493	4.17
88	490	4.20	495	4.20
89	491	4.21	496	4.21
90	493	4.24	498	4.24
91	495	4.27	500	4.27
92	496	4.29	501	4.29
93	498	4.32	503	4.32
94	499	4.33	504	4.33
95	501	4.36	506	4.36
96	503	4.39	508	4.39
97	504	4.41	509	4.41
98	506	4.44	511	4.44
99	507	4.45	512	4.45
100	509	4.50	514	4.50

## Appendix B Element-Measure-Question Structure

The following tables show the measures within each element, the respondent group(s) that were asked about each measure in the NYC School Survey, and the questions that were asked.

## **Rigorous Instruction**

	Non-elementary schools			Elementary schools	
Measure	Students	Teachers	Parents	Teachers	Parents
Academic Press	×	×		×	
Course clarity	×				
Quality of student discussion		×		×	
Early Childhood Instruction				х	
Strong core instruction		×		×	

#### **Collaborative Teachers**

	Non-elementary schools		Elementary schools		
Measure	Students	Teachers	Parents	Teachers	Parents
Cultural awareness and inclusive classroom instruction	×	×		×	
Innovation and collective responsibility		×		×	
Peer collaboration		×		×	
Quality of professional development		×		×	
School commitment		×		×	

#### **Supportive Environment**

	Non-elementary schools			Elementary schools	
Measure	Students	Teachers	Parents	Teachers	Parents
Classroom behavior	×	×		×	
Guidance	×	х		×	
Peer support for academic work	×				
Personal attention and support	×				
Preventing bullying	×	х		х	
Safety	×	х		×	
Social-emotional		×		×	
Conflict Resolution	×				

### **Effective School Leadership**

	Non-elementary schools			Elementary schools	
Measure	Students	Teachers	Parents	Teachers	Parents
Inclusive leadership			×		×
Instructional leadership	Х	×		×	
Program coherence		×		×	
Teacher influence		×		×	

## **Strong Family-Community Ties**

	Non-elementary schools			Elementary schools	
Measure	Students	Teachers	Parents	Teachers	Parents
Outreach to parents		×	×	×	×
Parent involvement in school			×		×

#### Trust

	Non-elementary schools		Elementary schools		
Measure	Students	Teachers	Parents	Teachers	Parents
Parent-principal trust			×		×
Parent-teacher trust			×		×
Student-teacher trust	×				
Student-student trust	×				
Teacher-principal trust		×		×	
Teacher-teacher trust		×		×	

## **Rigorous Instruction**

Questions included within each measure in the Rigorous Instruction element.

### **Academic Press**

How much do YOU agree with the following statements?

- S q38 The classes at this school prepare me for the next step in my education.
- S q39 When I'm not in school, I talk about ideas from school.
- S q48 My classes at this school really make me think critically (like using information or data to inform an argument, or form my own questions about what we're learning).
- S q7 At this school, we have productive conversations about race and racism where I feel my voice is heard.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

*In how many of your classes* ... Sq54 are you challenged?

S q55 do your teachers have high expectations for you?

S q56 you are you encouraged to work in small groups?

S q57 do your teachers want students to become better thinkers, not just memorize things?

S q59 do you get so focused on learning during class activities that you lose track of time?

1 = None, 2 = A few, 3 = Most, 4 = All

How many students in your classes...

T q148 have to work hard to do well?

T q118 respond to challenging questions in class?

1 = None, 2 = Some, 3 = A lot, 4 = All

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following.

T q34 In this school, the staff believes that all students can learn, including English language learners (ELLs), Emergent Multilingual Learners (EMLLs), and students with disabilities.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

### **Course clarity**

In how many of your classes, this school year, do YOU feel the following statement is true?

- S q26 My teachers make learning expectations clear.
- S q27 Class assignment are purposeful in learning the course content.
- S q28 The work I do in class is good preparation for class assignments, projects, and assessments.
- S q29 I learn a lot form feedback on my work.

1 = None, 2 = A few, 3 = Most, 4 = All

#### **Quality of student discussion**

How many students in your classes...

- T q111 build on each other's ideas during class discussions?
- T q112 use data or text references to support their ideas?
- T q113 show that they respect each other's ideas?
- T q114 provide constructive feedback to their peers/teachers?
- T q115 participate in class discussions at some point?
- 1 = None, 2 = Some, 3 = A lot, 4 = All

#### Strong core instruction

For general/self-contained/ELA/math/science/social studies: In planning my last instructional unit, I had the resources and tools I needed to include multiple opportunities for...

Т	q78,84,90	reading and writing experiences grounded in evidence from text, both literary and informational.
Т	q79,85,91,96,101,106	students to interact with complex grade-level text and tasks.
Т	q80,86,92,97,102,107	students to engage with texts and tasks reflective of their diverse racial, cultural, and linguistic perspective.
Т	q81,87,93,98,103,108	students to engage in meaningful discussion that critically examines topics that connect to the daily lives of students.
Т	q82,88,94,99,104,109	teaching and practicing high-utility vocabulary words.
Т	q83,95,100,105,110	focusing deeply on the concepts emphasized in the standards to help students build strong foundations for learning.
Т	q89	creating coherent progressions within the standards from previous grades to current grade to build onto previous learning.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

## **Collaborative Teachers**

Questions included within each measure in the Collaborative Teachers element.

#### Cultural awareness and inclusive classroom instruction

How much do YOU agree with the following statements?

S	q5	My teachers use examples of students' different cultures/backgrounds/families in their lessons to
		make learning more meaningful for me.

- S q6 I see people of many races, ethnicities, cultures, and backgrounds represented in the curriculum.
- S q8 My teachers treat students from different cultures or backgrounds equally.
- S q17 I am presented with positive representations of people from a variety of races, ethnicities, cultures, and backgrounds in my classes or studies.
- S q58 Do your teachers make their lessons relevant to your everyday life experiences?

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree, 5 = I don't know

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following. I am able to...

- T q7 use my students' prior knowledge to make my lessons relevant to their everyday life.
- T q7 modify instructional activities and materials to meet the developmental needs and learning interests of all my students.

- T q8 ensure instruction represents multiple perspectives, cultures, and backgrounds.
- T q10 design appropriate instruction that is matched to students' need (e.g. English language learners (ELLs) proficiency and students with disabilities).
- T q11 apply my knowledge of parents' various cultural backgrounds when collaborating with them regarding their child's educational progress.
- T q12 develop appropriate Individualized Education Programs for my students with disabilities.
- T q14 distinguish linguistic/cultural differences from learning difficulties.
- T q9 empower students as agents of social change in my classroom practice.
- T q13 monitor progress on Individualized Education Program goals for my students with disabilities.
- T q24 I have conversations about race and racism at my school that helps me examine my own beliefs

around identity.

### **Innovation and Collective responsibility**

How many teachers at this school ...

- T q1 help build a welcoming school environment in the entire school, not just their classroom?
- T q2 are actively trying to improve their teaching?
- T q3 take responsibility for improving the school?
- T q4 are eager to try new ideas?
- T q5 feel responsible that all students learn?

1 = None, 2 = Some, 3 = A lot, 4 = All

#### **Peer collaboration**

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following. At this school...

- T q49 teachers design instructional programs (e.g. lessons, units) together.
- T q50 teachers make a conscious effort to coordinate their teaching with instruction at other grade levels.
- T q46 the principal/school leader, teachers, and staff collaborate to make this school run effectively.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

### **Quality of professional development**

*Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following. Overall, my professional development experiences this year have...* 

- T q57 included enough time to think carefully about, try, and evaluate new ideas.
- T q58 included opportunities to engage in inquiry-based, professional collaboration with peers and/or mentors in my school.
- T q59 directly related to my students' needs.
- 1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

### School commitment

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following.

- T q20 I usually look forward to each working day at this school.
- T q21 I would recommend this school to parents/guardians seeking a place for their child.
- T q26 I would recommend this school to other teachers as a place to work.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

## **Supportive Environment**

Questions included within each measure in the Supportive Environment element.

### Classroom behavior

How many students in your classes...

- T q117 follow the rules in class?
- T q149 do their work when they are supposed to?

1 = None, 2 = Some, 3 = A lot, 4 = All

### Guidance

If you are a student in grades 6–8, ANSWER this question. If you are a student in grades 9–12, SKIP this question. How much do YOU agree with the following statements?

- S q73 This school provides me with guidance on the high school application process.
- S q74 This school provides my family with guidance on the high school application process.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

If you are a student in grades 9–12, ANSWER this question. How much do YOU agree with the following statements? Adults at this school (including teachers, administrators, counselors, and the principal)...

- S q73 talk with me about what I plan to do after high school.
- S q74 encourage me to continue my education after high school.
- S q75 provide me with information about the college application process.
- S q76 help me plan for how to meet my future career goals.

S q79 encourage students of all races, ethnicities, genders, cultures, and backgrounds to take challenging classes.

- S q80 advise me to take advanced courses.
- 1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

If you are a student in grades 9–12, ANSWER this question. How much do YOU agree with the following statements? Adults at this school (including teachers, administrators, counselors, and the principal)...

S q81 help me consider which colleges to apply to.

S q82 show me options for how to pay for college (scholarship, grants, loans, work study programs, etc.).

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree, 5 = N/A

How much do you agree with the following statements? Adults at this school... (EMS only)

- T q137 provide students with guidance on the high school application process.
- T q138 provide families with guidance on the high school application process.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree, 5 = N/A

How much do you agree with the following statements? Adults at this school ... (HS only)

- T q140 talk with students about what they plan to do after high school.
- T q141 create an atmosphere that encourages students to continue their education after high school.
- T q142 provide students with information about the college application process.
- T q143 help students consider which colleges to apply to.
- T q144 help students plan for how to meet their future career goals.
- T q145 show students options for how to pay for college (scholarship, grants, loans, work study

programs).

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree, 5 = N/A

#### Personal attention and support

In how many of your classes, this school year, do YOU feel the following statement is true? My teachers...

S q31 ask if I have everything that I need to succeed in their class.

- S q32 help me catch up if I am behind.
- S q33 notice if I have trouble learning something.
- S q34 give me specific suggestions about how I can improve my work in class.
- S q35 explain things a different way if I don't understand something in class.
- S q36 support me when I am upset.

1 = None, 2 = A few, 3 = Most, 4 = All

#### How much do YOU agree with the following statements?

S q37 Adults at this school communicate with me in a language that I can understand.

S q41 Adults at this school check in with me frequently about how I'm doing both personally and academically.

S q43 I feel like I belong at this school.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

### **Preventing bullying**

How often are the following things true?

S q65 At this school students harass, bully, or intimidate other students.

- S q66 Students harass, bully, or intimidate each other because of their race, religion, ethnicity, national origin, language/accent, or citizenship/immigration status.
- S q67 Students harass, bully, or intimidate each other because of their gender, gender identity, gender expression, or sexual orientation.
- S q68 At this school students harass, bully, or intimidate each other because of other differences, like different body type or disability.
- S q69 Students harass, bully, or intimidate each other online (through mobile phones, social media, email, or other forms of electronic communication).

1 = None of the time, 2 = Rarely, 3 = Some of the time, 4 = Most of the time

### Safety

How much do YOU agree with the following statements?

S q50 Conflicts are resolved applied fairly in my school.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

How much do you agree with the following statements? I feel safe ...

- S q61 outside around this school.
- S q62 traveling between home and this school.
- S q63 in the hallways, bathrooms, locker rooms, and cafeteria of this school.
- S q64 in my classes at this school.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

How much do you agree with the following statements? My students are safe... (ES only)

- T q133 outside around this school.
- T q134 traveling between home and this school.
- T q135 in the hallways, bathrooms, locker rooms, and cafeteria of this school.
- T q136 in my class(es).
- 1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

#### How much do you agree with the following statement?

- T q147 Conflicts are resolved fairly in my school.
- T q132 Behavioral supports are applied to students fairly in my school. (Birth-5 only)
- 1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

#### Social-emotional

How many adults at this school...

- T q119 help students develop the skills they need to complete challenging coursework despite obstacles?
- T q120 tell their students they believe they can achieve high academic standards?
- T q121 teach students how to advocate for themselves?
- T q122 recognize disruptive behavior as social-emotional learning opportunities?
- T q123 teach students the skills they need to fully engage academically (i.e. by focusing their attention or managing their thinking, behavior, and feelings)?
- T q124 have access to school-based supports to assist in behavioral/emotional escalations?

1 = None, 2 = Some, 3 = A lot, 4 = All, 5 = I don't know

How much do YOU agree with the following statements?

S q18 I know where to go at my school if I need additional support with my mental health.

```
1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree, 5 = I don't know
```

If you need mental health supports or resources click here. During this school year, I have felt \_\_\_ while learning.

- S q20 Happy
- S q21 Safe
- *S q22* Optimistic
- S q23 Bored
- *s q24* **Stressed**
- *s q25* **Worried**

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

## **Effective School Leadership**

Questions included within each measure in the Effective School Leadership element.

#### **Inclusive leadership**

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following statements. The principal/school leader at this school...

P q22 puts decisions made with families into action.

P q23 works to create a sense of community in the school.

P q24 ensures families are comfortable communicating with the school.

```
1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree, 5 = I don't know
```

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following statements.

P q17 I see feedback from parents/guardians put into action at this school.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree, 5 = I don't know

How much do YOU agree with the following statements?

S q3 I have the opportunity to work with adults at this school to make decisions and implement changes in important areas that impact my life (e.g. instruction, safety, conflict resolution, etc.). 1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree, 5 = I don't know

#### Instructional leadership

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following. The principal/school leader at this school...

- T q60 makes clear to the staff their expectations for meeting instructional goals.
- T q61 understands how children learn.
- T q62 sets high standards for student learning.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

*Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following. The principal/assistant principal(s) at this school...* 

- T q63 supports teachers in implementing what they have learned in professional development.
- T q64 carefully tracks student academic progress.
- T q65 knows what's going on in my classes.
- T q66 provides teachers with formative feedback to improve practice.
- T q67 participates in instructional planning with teams of teachers.

#### Program coherence

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following. At this school...

- T q47 once we start a new program, we follow up to make sure that it's working.
- T q48 it is clear how all of the programs offered are connected to our school's instructional vision.
- T q51 curriculum, instruction, and learning materials are well coordinated across the different grade levels at this school.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree, 5 = N/A

#### **Teacher influence**

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following. At this school...

- T q58 the principal/school leader encourages feedback through regular meetings with parent and teacher leaders.
- 1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

How much influence do teachers have over school policy in each of the areas below?

- T q68 Hiring new professional personnel.
- T q69 planning how discretionary school funds should be used.
- T q69 Selecting instructional materials and/or curriculum used in classrooms.
- T q71 Setting standards for student behavior.
- 1 = No influence, 2 = Little, 3 = A moderate amount, 4 = A great deal of influence

## **Strong Family-Community Ties**

Questions included within each measure in the Strong Family-Community Ties element.

#### Outreach to parents

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following. At this school...

- T q52 teachers understand families' problems and concerns.
- T q53 teachers work closely with families to meets students' needs.
- T q54 staff regularly communicate with families about how they can help students learn.
- T q56 school staff value families' race, ethnicity, culture, or background.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following statements about this school.

P q1 School staff regularly communicate with me about how I can help my child learn.

- P q2 Teachers work closely with me to meet my child's needs.
- P q5 My child's school communicates with me in a language that I can understand.
- P q11 I am greeted warmly when I call or visit the school.
- P q18 My child's school will make me aware in there are any concerns about my child's social or emotional well-being.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

### Parent involvement in school

Since the beginning of the school year, how often have you...

- P q12 communicated with your child's teacher about your child's performance?
- P q13 seen your child's projects, artwork, homework, tests, or quizzes?

1 = Never, 2 = Rarely, 3 = Sometimes, 4 = Often

During the school year, have you...

P q40 attended a school meeting, school event, or parent-teacher conference (virtually or in-person)? 1 = Yes, 2 = No

## Trust

Questions included within each measure in the Trust element.

### **Parent-principal trust**

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following statements about this school.

- P q6 I feel respected by my child's principal/school leader.
- P q9 I trust the principal/school leader at their word (to do what they say that they will do).
- P q10 The principal/school leader is an effective manager who makes the school run smoothly.
- P q15 The principal/school leader at this school works hard to build trusting relationships with parents/ guardians like me.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

### **Parent-teacher trust**

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following statements about this school. P q3 I feel respected by my child's teachers.

P q4 Staff at this school work hard to build trusting relationships with parents/guardians like me.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following statements about this school.

P q14 My child's teachers treat me as a partner in educating my child.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree, 5 = I don't know

### Student-teacher trust

How much do YOU agree with the following statements?

- S q40 There is at least one adult in the school that I can confide in.
- S q44 My teachers are open to students' ideas, suggestions, and comments.
- S q445 My teachers always do what they say they will do.
- S q46 My teachers treat me with respect.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree

#### Student-student trust

How much do YOU agree with the following statements?

- S q1 Most students at this school treat each other with respect.
- S q47 Most students treat students from different cultures or backgrounds equally.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly agree, 5 = I don't know

### **Teacher-principal trust**

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following.

- T q27 I feel respected by the principal/school leader at this school.
- T q28 The principal/school leader at this school is an effective manager who makes the school run smoothly.
- T q29 The principal/school leader has confidence in the expertise of the teachers at this school.
- T q30 I trust the principal/school leader at their word (to do what they say that they will do).
- T q31 The principal/school leader looks out for the personal welfare of the staff members.
- T q32 The principal/school leader places the needs of children ahead of personal interests.
- T q33 The principal and assistant principal function as a cohesive unit.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly Agree

### **Teacher-teacher trust**

Please mark the extent to which you disagree or agree with each of the following.

- T q22 Teachers in this school trust each other.
- T q23 It's OK in this school to discuss feelings, worries, and frustrations with other teachers.
- T q25 I feel respected by other teachers at this school.

1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Agree, 4 = Strongly Agree